arXiv:1011.1238v1 [quant-ph] 4 Nov 2010

Inverse quantum Zeno effect and power laws in a model chiral molecule

Filippo Giraldi*
Quantum Research Group, School of Physics and National Institute for Theoretical Physics,
University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban 4001, South Africa and
Gruppo Nazionale per la Fisica Matematica, GNFM-INdAM,
Via Madonna del Piano 10,I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy

Francesco Petruccione†
Quantum Research Group, School of Physics and National Institute for Theoretical Physics,
University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban 4001, South Africa

We consider a chiral molecule modeled by two sets of rotational internal energy levels of different parity and degenerate ground states coupled by a constant interaction. By assuming that the collisions in a gas of identical molecules, provoke transitions between adjacent energy levels of the same parity, we apply the prescriptions of the continuous time quantum random walk to a single molecule, interpreted as an open quantum system, and build up the master equation driving its internal dynamics in case of a general distributions of collision times. The asymptotic exact dynamics of the coherence terms and the populations of the energy levels are analytically evaluated for relevant classes of non-Poissonian distributions of the collision times. The inverse quantum Zeno effect and inverse power law relaxations emerge over estimated long time scales.

PACS numbers: 03.65Xp,03.65.Yz,34.10.+x

I. INTRODUCTION

The Quantum Zeno effect (QZE), originally introduced by Misra and Sudarshan [1] in the early 1977, is generally interpreted as the hindrance of the dynamics of an unstable quantum system, caused by frequent measurements. Experimental evidence of the QZE were shown by Cook [2] in the early 1988, by Itano et al. [3] in forced Rabi oscillations between discrete atomic levels, and in spontaneous decaying systems by Fisher et al. [4], to name a few. Under particular conditions the QZE is also obtained over short time scales [5–7], the literature on this argument is wide. The inverse quantum Zeno effect (IZE) is, on the contrary, the acceleration of the relaxation process due to repeated measurements. This effect has been widely studied through theoretical approaches [8, 9] and experimental evidences [3]. In Ref. [8] the transition from the QZE to the IZE is obtained by changing the time interval between successive measurements. Also, inverse power law behavior emerge over long time scales in the time evolution of the survival probability of an unstable quantum system [10].

Recently [11], a gas of colliding identical chiral model molecules has been considered and the Rabi-like oscillations between the two sets of rotational levels of the model molecule are shown to be inhibited by an increase of the mean collision time. This behavior has been interpreted as the appearance of the QZE. This picture refers to the experimental behavior of the nuclear spin depolarization in $^{13}CH_3F$ molecule [12]. The quantum number of the total spin of the three protons takes the values 3/2 (orto) and 1/2 (para), the transition between states of different parity are forbidden in the electric dipole interaction and the spin flip emerges from a weak coupling between two levels of different spin parity. Here, the freezing of the spin relaxation due to an increase of the gas pressure, is considered as the appearance of the dephasing caused by molecular collisions.

In this scenario, we aim to study the dynamics of a chiral molecule modeled in Ref. [11] by considering relevant cases of *non-Poissonian* distributions of collision times and recover the Poisson statistics as a particular case. The construction of the master equation is performed through the prescription of the continuous time random walk (CTRW), originally developed by Montroll and Weiss [13]. If the distribution of time intervals between two consecutive jumps is non-Poissonian, anomalous diffusion is obtained from the random walk. This technique is adapted to the dynamics of an open quantum system [14] randomly interacting with its external environment. In case the distribution of the interval between two consecutive interaction is non-Possonian, the master equation mimicking the dynamics of the system of interest results to be non-Markovian. This procedure is also named as the continuous time quantum random walk (CTQRW) and is developed in Refs. [15] and [16]. This powerful technique recovers a non-Markovian master equation as a subordination [17] to a Lindblad dynamics [18, 19]. Once the master equation is built up, we study the exact time evolution and the eventual appearance of the IZE over long time scales.

Details on the construction of the master equation corresponding to a general distribution of collision times are given in Section II. Section III is devoted the study of the asymptotic dynamics of the populations of the energy

---

*Electronic address: giraldi@ukzn.ac.za,filgi@libero.it
†Electronic address: petruccione@ukzn.ac.za

levels for a general distribution of collision times and relevant particular cases. Time scales for inverse power laws are analytically estimated and the appearance of the IZE is discussed. In Appendixes A and B a detailed analysis of the convoluted structure equations driving the dynamics of the levels populations and the decoherence terms is performed.

II. THE MASTER EQUATION FOR NON-POISSONIAN DISTRIBUTIONS OF COLLISION TIMES

The model multilevel molecule is considered as an open quantum system and the collisions with other molecules, represented as an interaction with an external environment, are described by the action of a set of superoperators on the density matrix of the molecule itself. This picture will be adopted for the construction of the master equation mimicking the dynamics of the internal energy levels of the model molecule in case of a general distribution of the collision times. By following the procedure of the CTQRW, a non-Markovian master equation is obtained for the time evolution of the internal energy levels in case of non-Poissonian distributions of collision times. Recent applications of this technique are shown in Ref. [20] in order to interpret the fluorescence fluctuations in blinking quantum dots.

Briefly, the CTQRW provides the following time evolution of the density matrix:

$$\rho(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^t \mathcal{P}_0\left(t-t'\right) \left[W_n\left(t'\right)\left[\rho\left(0\right)\right]\right] dt'. \quad (1)$$

In the CTRW, the function $W_n(t)$ recalls the probability that n jumps has occurred, the last one at time t, while the function $\mathcal{P}_0(t)$ recalls the probability that no jumps occurs in time interval t. For our model, $\mathcal{P}_0(t)$ and $W_n(t)$ are superoperators properly defined through their Laplace transforms [21]:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0(u) = \tilde{P}_0\left(u-\mathcal{L}_0\right), \quad \tilde{W}_n(u) = \left(\Lambda \tilde{w}\left(u-\mathcal{L}_0\right)\right)^n, \quad (2)$$

where the function $P_0(t)$ is the probability that no collision occurs in a time interval t, while the function $w(t)$ is the statistical distribution of the random intervals between two consecutive collisions. The superoperator Λ, mimicking the effect of each collision, is related to the Liouvillian $\mathcal{L}_I$ by the relation: $\mathcal{L}_I = \Lambda - I$, where I is the identity superoperator. In this way, the Laplace transform of Eq. (1) gives:

$$\tilde{\rho}(u) = \frac{I-\tilde{w}\left(u-\mathcal{L}_0\right)}{u-\mathcal{L}_0}\left[\frac{1}{I-\Lambda\tilde{w}\left(u-\mathcal{L}_0\right)}\left[\rho(0)\right]\right], \quad (3)$$

equivalent to the following non-Markovian master equation:

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = \mathcal{L}_0\left[\rho(t)\right] + \int_0^t \Phi\left(t-t'\right)\mathcal{L}_I\left[e^{\mathcal{L}_0\left(t-t'\right)}\left[\rho\left(t'\right)\right]\right] dt', \quad (4)$$

where $\tilde{\Phi} = u\,\tilde{w}(u)/\left(1-\tilde{w}(u)\right)$ is the Laplace transform of the memory kernel related to the distribution of collision times. For more details we refer to [15, 16, 21].

We now remind the model adopted in Ref. [11]: the spatial dynamics is neglected and each molecule is modeled by the internal rotational energy levels of different parity, labeled as left (L) and right (R). The ground levels are energy degenerate and coupled by a constant interaction, the collisions cause transitions between adjacent energy levels of the same parity, while the transitions between L and R spin states are forbidden, except through the ground states. The model also provides that the molecule does not reach the dissociation energy. For more details, we refer to [11].

Briefly, the Schrödinger equation in Îto form, corresponding to a Poisson distribution of collision times of mean τ_0, gives the following master equation describing the time evolution of the statistical density matrix in the Hilbert space of the total spin:

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = \left(\mathcal{L}_f + \frac{1}{\tau_0}\mathcal{L}_c\right)\left[\rho(t)\right]. \quad (5)$$

The Liouville superoperator L_f drives the free evolution, $\mathcal{L}_f\left[\cdot\right] = -\imath/\hbar\left[H,\cdot\right]$ and the total Hamiltonian is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &H = H_0 + H_1, && (6)\\ &H_0 = \sum_{n_L=1}^{N_L} E_{n_L}|n_L\rangle\langle n_L| + \sum_{n_R=1}^{N_R} E_{n_R}|n_R\rangle\langle n_R|, &&\\ &H_1 = \hbar\Omega\left(|1_L\rangle\langle 1_R| + |1_L\rangle\langle 1_R|\right), &&\\ &E_{1_L} = E_{1_R} = E_1, \qquad \langle 1_L||1_R\rangle = 0. && \end{aligned}$$

The term H_1 mimics the constant interaction between the ground states of different parity, while the superoperator $\mathcal{L}_c$, related to the action of each collision, reads as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{L}_c\left[\cdot\right] = -\imath\left[V,\cdot\right] - \frac{1}{2}\left[V\left[V,\cdot\right]\right], && (7)\\ &V = \sum_{s=L,R}\alpha_s\sum_{n_s=1}^{N_s-1}\left(|n_s\rangle\langle n_{s+1}| + |n_s+1\rangle\langle n_s|\right). && \end{aligned}$$

The structure of the interaction Hamiltonian V recovers the assumption that the collisions provoke transitions between "nearest neighbor" energy levels of the same parity. In the Poisson case, the distribution of collision times and the corresponding memory kernel, respectively, read

$$w_p(t) = \frac{e^{-t/\tau_0}}{\tau_0}, \qquad \Phi_p(t) = \frac{\delta(t)}{\tau_0}$$

and the Lindblad structure [18, 19] of Eq. (5) is recovered from Eq. (4). The master equation (4) describes the dynamics of the multilevel molecule undergoing the collision interactions (6) with a generic distribution of the collision times, provided that $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{L}_f$ and $\mathcal{L}_I = \mathcal{L}_c$.

This way, the following non-Markovian master equation is obtained:

$$\dot{\rho}(t)=\mathcal{L}_f\rho(t)+\int_0^t \Phi\left(t-t'\right)\mathcal{L}_c\left[e^{\mathcal{L}_f\left(t-t'\right)}\left[\rho\left(t'\right)\right]\right]dt'. \tag{8}$$

Let τ_0 be the characteristic time of the Poisson distribution of collision times and ΔE be the smallest energy difference between every couple of L and R states, the ground states are excluded. The following estimates: $\Delta E/\hbar \simeq 10^{-9}s$, $\Omega \simeq 1kHz$ and $\tau_0 \simeq 1\mu s$, justify the assumption that all the level pairs are far from resonance condition. We also assume that the investigated physical conditions fulfill the constraint

$$\frac{\Delta E}{\hbar} \gg \max\left\{\Omega,\,\frac{1}{\tau_\Phi}\right\}, \tag{9}$$

holding true for every characteristic time τ_Φ of each distributions of collision times that we are going to consider, $\Phi(t)$ being the corresponding memory kernel. As pointed out in Ref. [11], the inequality (9), reveals the existence of a "fast" dynamics giving a vanishing average contribution over long time scales, this issue is discussed in details in Appendix A, where the master equation (8) is studied.

III. TIME SCALES FOR THE INVERSE QUANTUM ZENO EFFECT AND POWER LAWS

This Section is devoted to the dynamics of the populations of the energy levels and to decoherence process. We aim to show the occurrence of the IZE and inverse power law relaxations over estimated long timescale, for relevant classes of non-Poisson distribution of collision times, recovering the Poisson statistic as a particular case.

The coherence term,

$$p^c(t)=\imath\left(\rho_{1_L 1_R}(t)-\rho_{1_R 1_L}(t)\right),$$

and the populations of the whole L and R levels,

$$P_s(t)=\sum_{n_s=1}^{N_s} p_{n_s}(t), \quad s=L,R,$$

are evaluated in Appendix A for a generic distribution of collision times, through Eqs. (A8), (A9), (A12), (A13), (A14) and those obtained by exchanging the indexes L and R, holding true for times $t \gg 1/\Omega$.

The detailed study performed in Appendix B, reveals that the populations of the whole L and R energy levels are driven uniquely by the coherence term $p^c(t)$ and the populations of the ground levels:

$$\dot{P}_L(t)=\Omega p^c(t), \qquad \dot{P}_R(t)=-\Omega p^c(t), \tag{10}$$

$$\dot{p}^c(t)=2\Omega\left(p_{1_R}(t)-p_{1_L}(t)\right). \tag{11}$$

The final value theorem [22], applied to Eqs. (B1), (B2), (10) and (11), suggests the existence of stable asymptotic configuration for *every* distribution of collision times,

$$P_{L_{(R)}}(+\infty)=\frac{\alpha_{L_{(R)}}}{\alpha_L+\alpha_R}, \qquad \frac{P_L(+\infty)}{P_R(+\infty)}=\frac{\alpha_L}{\alpha_R}. \tag{12}$$

The whole population of both the L and R levels tend to a stationary distribution depending uniquely on the collision interaction, represented by the parameters α_L and α_R, and is independent of either the Rabi-like oscillations between the ground levels or the statistical distribution of the random collision times. In case $\alpha_L=\alpha_R$, the asymptotic populations of the whole L and R energy levels become identical.

We now show in detail the exact dynamics of the levels populations and the coherence terms over long time scales, for relevant non-Poissonian distributions of collision times.

A. Fractional diffusion

As a first case, we consider the fractional diffusion processes widely studied in literature [15, 17, 23, 24]. The corresponding distribution of collision times,

$$w_r(t)=a_r^2 t^{-2r}E_{1-2r,1-2r}\left(-a_r^2\, t^{1-2r}\right), \quad \frac{1}{2}>r\geq 0, \tag{13}$$

is defined through the generalized Mittag-Leffler function [25, 26]

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}\left(z\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{z^n}{\Gamma\left(\alpha n+\beta\right)}, \qquad z,\beta\in\mathbb{C}, \qquad \Re\left\{\alpha\right\}>0.$$

We notice that the mean time is infinite and the Poisson statistic is recovered for $r=0$.

We plug the Laplace transform of the corresponding memory kernel,

$$\tilde{\Phi}_r(u)=\frac{u\,\tilde{w}_r(u)}{1-\tilde{w}_r(u)}=a_r^2 u^{2r}, \qquad \frac{1}{2}>r\geq 0, \tag{14}$$

in the general expression (B1) and analyze the behavior for $u\to 0^+$. The Laplace inversion gives the following asymptotic inverse power law behavior:

$$p^c(t)\sim\frac{\left(\alpha_R-\alpha_L\right)\,t^{r-3/2}}{2\,\Omega\,a_r\left(\alpha_L+\alpha_R\right)^2\Gamma\left(r-1/2\right)}, \qquad t\to+\infty. \tag{15}$$

Initially, only the ground L level is populated, which means $P_L(0)=1$. Over a long time scale, $t\gg\tau_r$, defined below, Eqs. (10) and (B1) lead to an inverse power law relaxation described by the following asymptotic form:

$$P_{L_{(R)}}(t)\sim\frac{\alpha_{L_{(R)}}}{\alpha_L+\alpha_R} +_{(-)}\frac{\left(\alpha_R-\alpha_L\right)\,t^{r-1/2}}{2a_r\left(\alpha_L+\alpha_R\right)^2\Gamma\left(r+1/2\right)}, \quad t\to+\infty. \tag{16}$$

A possible choice of the long time scale arises from the constraints for the convergence of the series expansion of Eq. (B1). In this way, the following form in *dimensionless* units is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_r = \max \Bigg\{ 1, \frac{1}{\Omega}, \Bigg[\Bigg(& 4a_r^4\alpha_L^4 \Big(1 + 4\Omega^2 + 2a_r\alpha_R \big(1 + 4\Omega^2 + 2a_r\alpha_R \big(1 + 4\Omega^2 + a_r\alpha_R \big) \big) \Big) + 2a_r^3\alpha_L^3 \Big(5 + 20\Omega^2 + 2a_r\alpha_R \\ & \times \big(5 + 20\Omega^2 + a_r\alpha_R \big(11 + 44\Omega^2 + 4a_r\alpha_R \big(3 + 12\Omega^2 + a_r\alpha_R \big) \big) \big) \Big) + \left(1 + 4\Omega^2 \right) \Big(2 + a_r\alpha_R \big(4 + a_r\alpha_R \big(9 + 2a_r\alpha_R \\ & \times \left(5 + 2a_r\alpha_R \right) \big) \big) \Big) + 2a_r \left(1 + 4\Omega^2 \right) \alpha_L \Big(2 + a_r\alpha_R \big(4 + a_r\alpha_R \big(9 + 2a_r\alpha_R \left(5 + 2a_r\alpha_R \right) \big) \big) \Big) + a_r^2 \left(1 + 4\Omega^2 \right) \alpha_L^2 \\ & \times \Big(9 + 2a_r\alpha_R \big(9 + 2a_r\alpha_R \big(10 + a_r\alpha_R \left(11 + 4a_r\alpha_R \right) \big) \big) \Big) \Bigg) \Bigg/ \Bigg(2^6 a_r^7 \Omega^2 \alpha_L^3 \alpha_R^3 \left(\alpha_L + \alpha_R \right) \Bigg) \Bigg]^{2/(1-2r)} \Bigg\}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

For large collision time intervals, $t \gg a_r^{2/(2r-1)}$, the corresponding statistical distribution is described by the asymptotic form

$$w_r(t) \sim \frac{(1-2r)t^{-2(1-r)}}{a_r^2\,\Gamma\left(2r\right)}, \qquad t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Thus, the following behavior emerges: a decreasing of the probability that two consecutive collisions occur at large time intervals, i.e., an increase of the parameters a_r, provokes, over long time scales, $t \gg \tau_r$, a faster relaxation of the initially populated L levels. The inverse power law relaxations, occurring over long time scales, are accelerated by a decreasing of the probability that two consecutive collisions occur at large time intervals. This correspondence could be identified as an IZE over long time scales, occurring for *large* collision time intervals.

B. Inverse Power law distribution

We now consider a power law distribution of collision times,

$$w_\mu(t) = \frac{\left(\mu - 1\right) T^{\mu-1}}{\left(t + T\right)^{\mu}}, \qquad 2 > \mu > 1, \tag{18}$$

of infinite mean time. This case has been widely studied in literature in both classical [27] and quantum processes [20]. The Laplace transform of the distribution of collision times

$$\tilde{w}_\mu(u) \sim 1 - \Gamma\left(2-\mu\right)\left(uT\right)^{\mu-1} + \frac{uT}{2-\mu}, \qquad u \rightarrow 0^+, \tag{19}$$

gives the following asymptotic form of the memory kernel:

$$\tilde{\Phi}_\mu(u) = \frac{u\,\tilde{w}_\mu(u)}{1-\tilde{w}_\mu(u)} \sim \frac{u^{2-\mu}}{\Gamma\left(2-\mu\right)T^{\mu-1}} - u, \qquad u \rightarrow 0^+. \tag{20}$$

The analysis of Eq. (B1) for $u \rightarrow 0^+$, gives inverse power law relaxations over a long time scale, $t \gg \tau_\mu$, defined below,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}^c(t) \sim & \frac{\left(\alpha_R - \alpha_L\right)\sqrt{\Gamma\left(2-\mu\right)}}{2\,\Omega\,T\left(\alpha_L + \alpha_R\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\left(1-\mu\right)/2\right)} \\ & \times \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^{-(1+\mu)/2}, \qquad t \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{L_{(R)}}(t) \sim & \frac{\alpha_{L_{(R)}}}{\alpha_L + \alpha_R} +_{(-)} \frac{\left(\alpha_R - \alpha_L\right)\sqrt{\Gamma\left(2-\mu\right)}}{2\left(\alpha_L + \alpha_R\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\left(3-\mu\right)/2\right)} \\ & \times \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^{(1-\mu)/2}, \qquad t \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Similarly to the case of fractional diffusion, we obtain the following particular time scale defined in *dimensionless* units:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_\mu = \max \Bigg\{ 1, \frac{1}{\Omega}, \Bigg[\Bigg(& 4a_\mu^4\alpha_L^4 \Big(1 + 4\Omega^2 + 2a_\mu\alpha_R \big(1 + 4\Omega^2 + 2a_\mu\alpha_R \big(1 + 4\Omega^2 + a_\mu\alpha_R \big) \big) \Big) + 2a_\mu^3\alpha_L^3 \Big(5 + 20\Omega^2 \\ & + 2a_\mu\alpha_R \big(5 + 20\Omega^2 + a_\mu\alpha_R \big(11 + 44\Omega^2 + 4a_\mu\alpha_R \big(3 + 12\Omega^2 + a_\mu\alpha_r \big) \big) \big) \Big) + \left(1 + 4\Omega^2 \right) \Big(2 + a_\mu\alpha_R \big(4 + a_\mu\alpha_r \big(9 \\ & + 2a_\mu\alpha_r \left(5 + 2a_\mu\alpha_R \right) \big) \big) \Big) + 2a_\mu \left(1 + 4\Omega^2 \right) \alpha_L \Big(2 + a_\mu\alpha_R \big(4 + a_\mu\alpha_R \big(9 + 2a_\mu\alpha_R \left(5 + 2a_\mu\alpha_R \right) \big) \big) \Big) + a_\mu^2 \left(1 + 4\Omega^2 \right) \\ & \times \alpha_L^2 \Big(9 + 2a_\mu\alpha_R \big(9 + 2a_\mu\alpha_R \big(10 + a_\mu\alpha_R \left(11 + 4a_\mu\alpha_R \right) \big) \big) \Big) \Bigg) \Bigg/ \Bigg(2^6 a_\mu^7 \Omega^2 \alpha_L^3 \alpha_R^3 \left(\alpha_L + \alpha_R \right) \Bigg) \Bigg]^{2/(\mu-1)} \Bigg\}, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where $a_\mu = T^{(1-\mu)/2} / \sqrt{\Gamma(2-\mu)}$.

We also notice that, for long collision time intervals, $t \gg T$, a reduction of the probability that two consecutive collisions occur,

$$T_2 < T_1, \quad \frac{(\mu-1)\, T_2^{\mu-1}}{(t+T_2)^\mu} < \frac{(\mu-1)\, T_1^{\mu-1}}{(t+T_1)^\mu}, \quad t \to +\infty,$$

is related to a decreasing of the parameter T. Thus, a decreasing of the probability that two consecutive collisions occur at large time intervals, provokes a faster relaxation of the initially populated L levels. The inverse power law relaxations, occurring over long time scales, are accelerated by a decreasing of the probability that two consecutive collisions occur at large time intervals. As in the previous case, this correspondence could be identified as an IZE over long time scales, occurring for *large* collision time intervals.

C. Exponential memory kernel

The case of exponential kernel, $\Phi_\gamma(t) = A e^{-\gamma t}$, is argument of interest in stochastic processes [15]. The corresponding distribution of collision times is

$$w_\gamma(t) = 2A \frac{\sinh\left(t\sqrt{\gamma^2 - 4A}\right) e^{-\gamma t/2}}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 - 4A}}, \quad \gamma^2 > 4A, \quad (24)$$

with finite mean time $T_\gamma = \gamma / A$.

The asymptotic dynamics of the levels populations and the coherence term are obtained from the Laplace transform of the memory kernel, $\tilde{\Phi}_\gamma(u) = A/(\gamma + u)$, and the corresponding behavior of Eq. (B1) in the limit $u \to 0^+$. With this method, the following asymptotic forms are obtained:

$$\tilde{p^c}(t) \sim \frac{(\alpha_R - \alpha_L)}{2\,\Omega\, T_\gamma\, (\alpha_L + \alpha_R)^2\, \Gamma(-1/2)} \times \left(\frac{t}{T_\gamma}\right)^{-3/2}, \qquad t \to +\infty, \quad (25)$$

$$P_{L_{(R)}}(t) \sim \frac{\alpha_{L_{(R)}}}{\alpha_L + \alpha_R} +_{(-)} \frac{(\alpha_L - \alpha_R)}{2\,(\alpha_L + \alpha_R)^2\, \Gamma(1/2)} \times \left(\frac{t}{T_\gamma}\right)^{-1/2}, \qquad t \to +\infty, \quad (26)$$

describing the time evolutions of the coherence term and the whole L and R levels populations, respectively, over a long time scale, $t \gg \tau_\gamma$, defined below.

The convergence of the series expansion of Eq. (B1), leads to the following choice of the long time scale defined in *dimensionless* units:

$$\tau_\gamma = \max\left\{1, \frac{1}{\Omega}, \left[\frac{1}{4\Omega^2}\left(1 + \left(1+4\Omega^2\right)\left(T_\gamma^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_L} + \frac{1}{\alpha_R} + \frac{1}{\alpha_L+\alpha_R}\right) + \frac{T_\gamma}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_L^2} + \frac{1}{\alpha_R^2} + \frac{9}{2\alpha_L\alpha_R}\right) + T_\gamma^{3/2} \times \frac{\alpha_L^4 + 5\alpha_L^3\alpha_R + 10\alpha_L^2\alpha_R^2 + 5\alpha_L\alpha_R^3 + \alpha_R^4}{4\alpha_L^3\alpha_R^3\,(\alpha_L+\alpha_R)} + T_\gamma^2\, \frac{5\alpha_L^2 + 4\alpha_L\alpha_R + 5\alpha_R^2}{8\alpha_L^3\alpha_R^3} + T_\gamma^{5/2}\, \frac{9\alpha_L^2 + 8\alpha_L\alpha_R + 9\alpha_R^2}{16\alpha_L^3\alpha_R^3\,(\alpha_L+\alpha_R)} + \frac{T_\gamma^3}{4\alpha_L^3\alpha_R^3} + \frac{T_\gamma^{7/2}}{8\alpha_L^3\alpha_R^3\,(\alpha_l+\alpha_R)}\right)\right)\right]^2 \Big/ \left(16\Omega^4\right)\right\}. \quad (27)$$

The analysis of the asymptotic dynamics reveals that an increase of the collision rate, i.e., a decrease of the mean time T_γ, provokes a faster relaxation of the initially populated level over long time scales, $t \gg \tau_\gamma$. This is a clear manifestation of the IZE over long time scales.

For vanishing values of the mean time, $T_\gamma \ll 1$, the long time scale tends to the value

$$\tau_\gamma^{(0)} = \max\left\{1, \frac{1}{\Omega}, \frac{1}{(2\Omega)^8}\right\}. \quad (28)$$

The IZE still reveals for $t \gg \tau_\gamma^{(0)}$, but the system approaches the stable configuration (12), this is compatible with the appearance of the QZE at earlier times.

D. Bi-exponential distribution

We now consider the case of a bi-exponential [21] distribution of collision times

$$w_{be}(t) = P_a D_a e^{-D_a t} + P_b D_b e^{-D_b t}, \quad (29)$$
$$P_a, D_a, P_b, D_b > 0, \quad P_a + P_b = 1,$$

with finite mean time

$$T_{be} = \frac{P_a D_b + P_b D_a}{D_a D_b}.$$

As in the previous cases, we plug the Laplace transform of the corresponding memory kernel

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{be}(u) = \frac{D_a D_b + u\,(D_a P_a + D_b P_b)}{D_a P_b + D_b P_a + u}$$

in the expression (B1) and we study the behavior for $u \to 0^+$. The Laplace inversion leads to the following asymptotic forms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{(c)}(t) \sim & \frac{(\alpha_R - \alpha_L)}{2\,\Omega\, T_{be}\,(\alpha_L + \alpha_R)^2\, \Gamma(-1/2)} \\
\times & \left(\frac{t}{T_{be}}\right)^{-3/2}, \qquad t \to +\infty, \qquad (30) \\
P_{L_{(R)}}(t) \sim & \frac{\alpha_{L_{(R)}}}{\alpha_L + \alpha_R} +_{(-)} \frac{(\alpha_R - \alpha_L)}{(\alpha_L + \alpha_R)^2\, \Gamma(1/2)} \\
\times & \left(\frac{t}{T_{be}}\right)^{-1/2}, \qquad t \to +\infty, \qquad (31)
\end{aligned}
$$

describing the dynamics over long a time scale, $t \gg \tau_{be}$, defined below. The convergence of the series expansion of Eq. (B1) leads to the following particular time scale defined in *dimensionless* units:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{be} = \max\Bigg\{ 1, \frac{1}{\Omega}, \Bigg[& 16\, a^{1/2} \alpha_L^3 \alpha_R^3 \,(\alpha_L + \alpha_R) \Big((a+b)^3 + 4b\Omega^2 \big(3a^2 + 3ab + b^2\big)\Big) \\
& + 16\, T_{be}^{1/2} a^{1/2} \alpha_L^2 \alpha_R^2 (a+b)^2 \left(1 + 4\Omega^2\right) \Big((a+b)\left(\alpha_L^2 + \alpha_R^2\right) + 3a\alpha_L\alpha_R \Big) + 4\, T_{be}\, a^{3/2} \alpha_L \alpha_R\, (\alpha_L + \alpha_R) \\
& \times (a+b)^2 \left(1 + 4\Omega^2\right) \Big(2\,(\alpha_L + \alpha_R)^2 + 9\alpha_L\alpha_R \Big) + 4\, T_{be}^{3/2}\, a^{3/2} (a+b) \left(1 + 4\Omega^2\right) \Big((a+b)\alpha_L^4 + 5a\alpha_L^3\alpha_R \\
& + 10\alpha_L^2\alpha_R^2 (a+b) + 5a\alpha_L\alpha_R^3 + (a+b)\alpha_R^4 \Big) + 2\, a^{5/2}\, T_{be}^2 (a+b)\,(\alpha_L + \alpha_R) \left(1 + 4\Omega^2\right) \Big(5\left(\alpha_L^2 + \alpha_R^2\right) \\
& + 4\alpha_L\alpha_R \Big) + a^{5/2}\, T_{be}^{5/2} \left(1 + 4\Omega^2\right) \times \Big(9(a+b)\left(\alpha_L^2 + \alpha_R^2\right) + 8a\alpha_L\alpha_R \Big) + 2\, T_{be}^3\, a^{7/2} \left(1 + 4\Omega^2\right) \\
& \times \big(2\,(\alpha_L + \alpha_R) + T_{be}^{7/2} \big) \Bigg]^2 \Bigg/ \left(2^{12} D_a^7 D_b^7 \Omega^4 \alpha_L^6 \alpha_R^6 \,(\alpha_R + \alpha_L)^2 \right) \Bigg\},
\end{aligned}
\qquad (32)
$$

where

$$
a = D_a D_b, \quad b = D_a P_a + D_b P_b, \quad d = D_a P_b + D_b P_a.
$$

The above analysis suggests that an increase of the collision rate, i.e., a decrease of the mean collision time T_{be}, provokes a faster relaxation of the whole population of the L level, revealing the occurrence of the IZE over *long* time scales, $t \gg \tau_{be}$.

In case of a vanishing mean time, $T_{be} \ll 1$, the time scale for inverse power laws tends to the value

$$
\tau_{be}^{(0)} = \max\left\{ 1, \frac{1}{\Omega}, \frac{a\left[(a+b)^3 + 4b\Omega^2\big(3a^2 + 3ab + b^2\big)\right]^2}{16 D_a^7 D_b^7 \Omega^4} \right\}. \qquad (33)
$$

The IZE still reveals for $t \gg \tau_{be}^{(0)}$, but the system approaches the stable configuration (12). This behavior is compatible with the appearance of the QZE at earlier times.

The particular case $P_a = 1$ and $P_b = 0$ gives the Poisson distribution of collision times, $w_p(t) = e^{-t/\tau_0}/\tau_0$, and it is described by the master equation (5), where $T_{be} = 1/D_a = \tau_0$. Over long time scales, the dynamics is still described by the inverse power laws (31), except for the different choice of the time scales, $t \gg \tau_p$, given by the following expression in *dimensionless* units:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_p = \max\Bigg\{ 1, \frac{1}{\Omega}, \Bigg[& 1 + \frac{\left(1 + 4\Omega^2\right)\tau_0^{1/2}}{16\alpha_L^3\alpha_R^3\,(\alpha_L + \alpha_R)} \Bigg(16\alpha_L^2\alpha_R^2 \left(\alpha_L^2 + 3\alpha_L\alpha_R + \alpha_R^2\right) + 4\alpha_L\alpha_R\tau_0^{1/2} \Big(2\left(\alpha_L^3 + \alpha_R^3\right) \\
& + 11\alpha_L\alpha_R\,(\alpha_L + \alpha_R) \Big) + 4\tau_0 \big(9\left(\alpha_L^2 + \alpha_R^2\right) + 8\alpha_L\alpha_R \big) + 4\tau_0^{5/2}\,(\alpha_L + \alpha_R) + 2\tau_0^3 \Bigg) \Bigg]^2 \Bigg/ \left(16\Omega^4\right) \Bigg\}.
\end{aligned}
\qquad (34)
$$

For vanishing τ_0, the above time scale tends to the value

$$\tau_p^{(0)} = \max\left\{1, \frac{1}{\Omega}, \frac{1}{(2\Omega)^4}\right\}. \tag{35}$$

As in the previous cases, the IZE reveals for $t \gg \tau_p^{(0)}$, but the system approaches the configuration of asymptotic equilibrium (12). Again, this behavior is compatible with the appearance of the QZE at earlier times.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We consider a gas of identical chiral model molecules, interacting according to a Poisson distribution of collision times, described in Ref. [11]. We apply the celebrated prescriptions of the CTQRW to build up the master equation driving the exact dynamics of the rotational internal energy levels of the model molecule for a general distribution of collision times. The resulting master equations turn out to be non-Markovian and the Lindblad form is recovered in the particular case of a Poisson distribution of collision times.

The reasonable assumption that the molecule is far from resonant conditions and the dissociation energy, allows to consider as infinite the number of energy levels, this way, the asymptotic exact dynamics is analytically described. The whole L and R levels populations tend to the same asymptotic stable equilibrium configurations, for every random distribution of the collision time intervals.

The levels populations and the decoherence terms exhibit inverse power law relaxations over estimated long time scales, for bi-exponential and power law distributions of collision times, for fractional diffusion and in the case of exponential memory kernel.

The cases with infinite mean collision times show that the inverse power law relaxations, occurring over long time scales, are accelerated by a decrease of the probability that two consecutive collisions occur at large time intervals. This correspondence could be identified as an IZE over long time scales, occurring for large collision time intervals. The cases with finite mean collision times, show faster relaxation as the mean collision time decreases, revealing the occurrence of the IZE over estimated *long* time scales.

For a vanishing mean collision time, the system approaches the condition of asymptotic stable equilibrium, over the time scale the IZE reveals. This behavior is compatible with the emergence of QZE at earlier times.

Appendix A: The General Master Equation in details

This Appendix is dedicated to the study of the dynamics described by Eq. (8). Since the ground states are degenerate, the Hamiltonian H takes the following diagonal form:

$$\begin{aligned} &H = E_{1_-}|1_-\rangle\langle 1_-| \\ &+E_{1_+}|1_+\rangle\langle 1_+| + \sum_{s=L,R}\sum_{n_s=2}^{N_s} E_{n_s}|n_s\rangle\langle n_s|, \\ &|1_{L(R)}\rangle = \gamma^{(+)}_{L(R)}|1_+\rangle + \gamma^{(-)}_{L(R)}|1_-\rangle, \qquad \langle 1_+|1_-\rangle = 0, \\ &|1_\pm\rangle = g^{(\pm)}_R|1_R\rangle + g^{(\pm)}_L|1_L\rangle, \qquad E_1\pm = E_1 \pm \hbar\Omega, \\ &g^{(\pm)}_R = \gamma^{(\pm)}_R = \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad g^{(\pm)}_L = \gamma^{(\pm)}_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

this way, after long but straightforward algebra the time evolution of each element of the statistical density matrix is obtained. As follows, the frequency terms ar labeled as $\omega_{\nu,\eta} = (E_\nu - E_\eta)/\hbar$. We start from the evaluation of the master equation driving the dynamics of matrix element $\rho_{1_L 2_L}(t)$,

$$\dot{\rho}_{1_L,2_L}(t) = \imath\left(\omega_{2_L 1_L}\rho_{1_L 2_L}(t) - \Omega\rho_{1_R 2_L}(t)\right) + \sum_{i=L,R}\sum_{j=L,R}\sum_{n=1}^{4}\sum_{m=1}^{4}\int_0^t \Phi\left(t-t'\right)\varphi^{(1_L,2_L)}_{n_i,m_j}\left(t-t'\right)\rho_{n_i,m_j}\left(t'\right)dt', \tag{A2}$$

where the non vanishing terms $\varphi^{(1_L,2_L)}_{n_i,m_j}(\tau)$ are

$$\varphi_{1_L,1_L}^{(1_L,2_L)}(\tau) = \imath\alpha_L \cos^2(\Omega\tau)\,, \quad \varphi_{1_R,1_R}^{(1_L,2_L)}(\tau) = \imath\alpha_L \sin^2(\Omega\tau)\,, \quad \varphi_{1_L,1_R}^{(1_L,2_L)}(\tau) = -\left(\varphi_{1_R,1_L}^{(1_L,2_L)}(\tau)\right)^* = -\frac{\alpha_L}{2}\sin(2\Omega\tau)\,,$$

$$\varphi_{1_L,2_L}^{(1_L,2_L)}(\tau) = -\frac{3}{2}\,\alpha_L^2 e^{\imath\omega_{2_L1_L}\tau}\cos(\Omega\tau)\,, \quad \varphi_{1_L,3_L}^{(1_L,2_L)}(\tau) = \imath\alpha_L e^{\imath\omega_{3_L1_L}\tau}\cos(\Omega\tau)\,, \quad \varphi_{1_L,4_L}^{(1_L,2_L)}(\tau) = -\frac{\alpha_L^2}{2}\,e^{\imath\omega_{4_L1_L}\tau}\cos(\Omega\tau)\,,$$

$$\varphi_{3_L,2_L}^{(1_L,2_L)}(\tau) = -\frac{\alpha_L^2}{2}\,e^{-\imath\omega_{3_L2_L}\tau}, \quad \varphi_{2_L,1_L}^{(1_L,2_L)}(\tau) = -\frac{2}{3}\left(\varphi_{1_L,2_L}^{(1_L,2_L)}(\tau)\right)^*, \quad \varphi_{1_R,2_L}^{(1_L,2_L)}(\tau) = \frac{3}{2}\,\imath\,\alpha_L^2 \sin(\Omega\tau)\;e^{\imath\omega_{2_L1_L}\tau} = -\frac{2}{3}$$

$$\times\left(\varphi_{2_L,1_R}^{(1_L,2_L)}(\tau)\right)^*, \quad \varphi_{1_R,3_L}^{(1_L,2_L)}(\tau) = \alpha_L \sin(\Omega\tau)\;e^{\imath\omega_{3_L1_L}\tau}, \quad \varphi_{2_L,2_L}^{(1_L,2_L)}(\tau) = -\imath\alpha_L, \quad \varphi_{1_R,4_L}^{(1_L,2_L)}(\tau) = -\frac{\alpha_L^2}{4}e^{\imath\left(\omega_{4_L1_L}-\Omega\right)\tau},$$

$$\varphi_{2_L,3_L}^{(1_L,2_L)}(\tau) = e^{\imath\omega_{3_L2_L}\tau}\alpha_L^2, \quad \varphi_{2_L,1_L}^{(1_L,2_L)}(\tau) = -\alpha_L^2 e^{-\imath\omega_{2_L1_L}\tau}\cos(\Omega\tau)\,.$$

The term $\dot\rho_{1_L,1_L}(t)$ reads as follows:

$$\dot\rho_{1_L,1_L}(t) = \imath\Omega\left(\rho_{1_L1_R}(t) - \rho_{1_R1_L}(t)\right) + \sum_{i=L,R}\sum_{j=L,R}\sum_{n=1}^{3}\sum_{m=1}^{3}\int_0^t \Phi(t-t')\,\varphi_{n_i,m_j}^{(1_L,1_L)}(t-t')\,\rho_{n_i,m_j}(t')\,dt' \tag{A3}$$

and the non-vanishing terms $\varphi_{n_i,m_j}^{(1_L,1_L)}(\tau)$ are listed below:

$$\varphi_{1_L,1_L}^{(1_L,1_L)}(\tau) = -\alpha_L^2\cos^2(\Omega\tau)\,, \quad \varphi_{1_R,1_R}^{(1_L,1_L)}(\tau) = -\alpha_L^2\sin^2(\Omega\tau)\,, \quad \varphi_{1_L,1_R}^{(1_L,1_L)}(\tau) = -\imath\frac{\alpha_L^2}{2}\sin(2\Omega\tau)\,, \quad \varphi_{2_L,2_L}^{(1_L,1_L)}(\tau) = \alpha_L^2,$$

$$\varphi_{1_L,3_L}^{(1_L,1_L)}(\tau) = -\frac{\alpha_L^2}{2}e^{\imath\omega_{3_L1_L}\tau}\cos(\Omega\tau) = \left(\varphi_{3_L,1_L}^{(1_L,1_L)}(\tau)\right)^*, \quad \varphi_{1_L,2_L}^{(1_L,1_L)}(\tau) = \imath\alpha_L e^{\imath\omega_{2_L1_L}\tau}\cos(\Omega\tau) = \left(\varphi_{2_L,1_L}^{(1_L,1_L)}(\tau)\right)^*,$$

$$\varphi_{1_R,2_L}^{(1_L,1_L)}(\tau) = \alpha_L\sin(\Omega\tau)\,e^{\imath\omega_{2_L1_L}\tau} = \left(\varphi_{2_L,1_R}^{(1_L,1_L)}(\tau)\right)^*, \quad \varphi_{1_R,3_L}^{(1_L,1_L)}(\tau) = \imath\frac{\alpha_L^2}{2}\sin(\Omega\tau)\,e^{\imath\omega_{3_L1_L}\tau} = \left(\varphi_{3_L,1_R}^{(1_L,1_L)}(\tau)\right)^*.$$

The term $\dot\rho_{1_R,1_R}(t)$ is obtained by exchanging the subscripts R and L.

As regards the coherent term $\dot\rho_{1_L1_R}(t)$, we obtain

$$\dot\rho_{1_L,1_R}(t) = \imath\Omega\left(\rho_{1_L1_L}(t) - \rho_{1_R1_R}(t)\right) + \sum_{i=L,R}\sum_{j=L,R}\sum_{n=1}^{3}\sum_{m=1}^{3}\int_0^t \Phi(t-t')\,\varphi_{n_i,m_j}^{(1_L,1_R)}(t-t')\,\rho_{n_i,m_j}(t')\,dt', \tag{A4}$$

with the following non-vanishing terms:

$$\varphi_{1_L,1_L}^{(1_L,1_R)}(\tau) = \frac{-\imath\left(\alpha_L^2+\alpha_R^2\right)\sin(2\Omega\tau)}{4}$$

$$= -\varphi_{1_R,1_R}^{(1_L,1_R)}(\tau)\,,$$

$$\varphi_{1_L,1_R}^{(1_L,1_R)}(\tau) = -\frac{\alpha_L^2+\alpha_R^2}{2}\cos(2\Omega\tau)\,,$$

$$\varphi_{1_R,1_L}^{(1_L,1_R)}(\tau) = -\frac{\left(\alpha_R^2+\alpha_L^2\right)}{2}\sin^2(\Omega\tau)\,,$$

$$\varphi_{1_R,2_R}^{(1_L,1_R)}(\tau) = \alpha_R\sin(\Omega\tau)\,e^{\imath\omega_{2_R1_R}\tau},$$

$$\varphi_{1_R,3_R}^{(1_L,1_R)}(\tau) = \frac{\imath}{2}\alpha_R^2 e^{\imath\omega_{3_R1_R}\tau}\sin(\Omega\tau)\,,$$

$$\varphi_{1_L,2_R}^{(1_L,1_R)}(\tau) = \imath\alpha_R e^{\imath\omega_{2_R1_R}\tau}\cos(\Omega\tau)\,,$$

$$\varphi_{1_L,3_R}^{(1_L,1_R)}(\tau)=-\frac{\alpha_R^2}{2}e^{\imath\omega_{3_R1_R}\tau}\cos(\Omega\tau)\,,\ \ \varphi_{2_L,1_L}^{(1_L,1_R)}(\tau)=\alpha_L e^{\imath\omega_{2_L1_L}\tau}\sin(\Omega\tau)\,,\ \ \varphi_{2_L,2_R}^{(1_L,1_R)}(\tau)=\alpha_R\alpha_L e^{\imath\left(\omega_{2_R1_R}-\omega_{2_L1_L}\right)\tau},$$
$$\varphi_{2_L,1_R}^{(1_L,1_R)}(\tau)=-\imath\alpha_L e^{-\imath\omega_{2_L1_L}\tau}\cos(\Omega\tau)\,,\ \varphi_{3_L,1_L}^{(1_L,1_R)}(\tau)=-\frac{\imath}{2}\alpha_L^2\sin(\Omega\tau)\,e^{-\imath\omega_{3_L1_L}\tau},$$
$$\varphi_{3_L,1_R}^{(1_L,1_R)}(\tau)=-\frac{\alpha_L^2}{2}\,e^{-\imath\omega_{3_L1_L}\tau}\cos(\Omega\tau)\,.$$

The term $\dot{\rho}_{2_L,2_L}(t)$ reads

$$\dot{\rho}_{2_L,2_L}(t)=\sum_{i=L,R}\sum_{j=L,R}\sum_{n=1}^{4}\sum_{m=1}^{4}\int_0^t\Phi(t-t')\,\varphi_{n_i,m_j}^{(2_L,2_L)}(t-t')\,\rho_{n_im_j}(t')\,dt',\tag{A5}$$

with the following non vanishing terms:

$$\varphi_{1_L,1_L}^{(2_L,2_L)}(\tau)=\alpha_L^2\cos(\Omega\tau)\,,\ \ \varphi_{1_L,1_R}^{(2_L,2_L)}(\tau)=\imath\frac{\alpha_L^2}{2}\sin(2\Omega\tau)=\left(\varphi_{1_R,1_L}^{(2_L,2_L)}(\tau)\right)^*,\ \ \varphi_{1_L,2_L}^{(2_L,2_L)}(\tau)=-\imath\alpha_L e^{\imath\omega_{2_L,1_L}\tau}\cos(\Omega\tau)$$
$$=\left(\varphi_{2_L,1_L}^{(2_L,2_L)}(\tau)\right)^*,\ \ \varphi_{1_L,3_L}^{(2_L,2_L)}(\tau)=\alpha_L^2e^{\imath\omega_{3_L,1_L}\tau}\cos(\Omega\tau)\,,\quad\varphi_{1_R,1_R}^{(2_L,2_L)}(\tau)=\alpha_L^2\sin^2(\Omega\tau)\,,\ \ \varphi_{1_R,3_L}^{(2_L,2_L)}(\tau)=-\imath\alpha_L^2$$
$$\times e^{\imath\omega_{3_L,1_L}\tau}\sin(\Omega\tau)\,,\quad\varphi_{1_L,3_L}^{(2_L,2_L)}(\tau)=\alpha_L^2e^{\imath\omega_{3_L,1_L}\tau}\cos(\Omega\tau)\,,\quad\varphi_{1_R,2_L}^{(2_L,2_L)}(\tau)=\varphi_{2_L,1_R}^{(2_L,2_L)}(\tau)=-\alpha_L e^{-\imath\omega_{2_L,1_L}\tau}\sin(\Omega\tau)\,,$$
$$\varphi_{2_L,2_L}^{(2_L,2_L)}(\tau)=-3\alpha_L^2,\quad\varphi_{2_L,3_L}^{(2_L,2_L)}(\tau)=\imath\alpha_L e^{\imath\omega_{3_L,2_L}\tau},\quad\varphi_{2_L,4_L}^{(2_L,2_L)}(\tau)=-\alpha_L^2e^{\imath\omega_{4_L,2_L}\tau},\quad\varphi_{3_L,1_L}^{(2_L,2_L)}(\tau)=\alpha_L^2e^{-\imath\omega_{3_L,1_L}\tau}$$
$$\times\cos(\Omega\tau)\,,\ \ \varphi_{3_L,1_R}^{(2_L,2_L)}(\tau)=\imath\alpha_L^2e^{-\imath\omega_{3_L,1_L}\tau}\sin(\Omega\tau)\,,\ \ \varphi_{3_L,2_L}^{(2_L,2_L)}(\tau)=-\imath\alpha_L e^{-\imath\omega_{3_L,2_L}\tau},\ \ \varphi_{4_L,2_L}^{(2_L,2_L)}(\tau)=-\frac{\alpha_L^2}{2}e^{-\imath\omega_{4_L,2_L}\tau}.$$

The terms $\dot{\rho}_{1_R,1_R}(t)$, $\dot{\rho}_{1_R,1_L}(t)$, $\dot{\rho}_{2_R,2_R}(t)$, $\dot{\rho}_{3_L,3_L}(t)$ are obtained by exchanging the indexes L and R. For the sake of shortness, we write down only the term $\dot{\rho}_{3_L,3_L}(t)$,

$$\dot{\rho}_{3_L,3_L}(t)=\sum_{i=L,R}\sum_{j=L,R}\sum_{n=1}^{4}\sum_{m=1}^{5}\int_0^t\Phi(t-t')\,\varphi_{n_i,m_j}^{(3_L,3_L)}(t-t')\,\rho_{n_im_j}(t')\,dt',\tag{A6}$$

and the corresponding non-vanishing terms,

$$\varphi^{(3_L,3_L)}_{1_L,3_L}(\tau)=\left(\varphi^{(3_L,3_L)}_{3_L,1_L}(\tau)\right)^*=-\frac{\alpha_L^2}{2}\,e^{\imath\omega_{3_L,1_L}\tau}\cos(\Omega\tau)\,,\quad \varphi^{(3_L,3_L)}_{1_R,3_L}(\tau)=\imath\frac{\alpha_L^2}{2}\,e^{\imath\omega_{3_L,1_L}\tau}\sin(\Omega\tau)\,,\quad \varphi^{(3_L,3_L)}_{2_L,2_L}(\tau)$$
$$=\varphi^{(3_L,3_L)}_{4_L,4_L}(\tau)=\alpha_L^2,\quad \varphi^{(3_L,3_L)}_{2_L,3_L}(\tau)=\left(\varphi^{(3_L,3_L)}_{3_L,2_L}(\tau)\right)^*=-\imath\alpha_L e^{\imath\omega_{3_L,2_L}\tau},\quad \varphi^{(3_L,3_L)}_{3_L,5_L}(\tau)=-\frac{\alpha_L^2}{2}\,e^{-\imath\omega_{5_L,3_L}\tau},$$
$$\varphi^{(3_L,3_L)}_{2_L,4_L}(\tau)=\left(\varphi^{(3_L,3_L)}_{4_L,2_L}(\tau)\right)^*=\alpha_L^2 e^{\imath\omega_{4_L,2_L}\tau},\ \ \varphi^{(3_L,3_L)}_{3_L,1_R}(\tau)=-\imath\frac{\alpha_L^2}{2}e^{-\imath\omega_{3_L,1_L}\tau}\sin(\Omega\tau)\,,\quad \varphi^{(3_L,3_L)}_{3_L,3_L}(\tau)=-2\alpha_L^2,$$
$$\varphi^{(3_L,3_L)}_{3_L,4_L}(\tau)=\left(\varphi^{(3_L,3_L)}_{4_L,3_L}(\tau)\right)^*\imath\alpha_L e^{\imath\omega_{4_L,3_L}\tau}.$$

The terms $\dot{\rho}_{m_s,m_s}(t)$, are given by the following forms:

$$\dot{\rho}_{m_s,m_s}(t)=\sum_{i=m_s-2}^{m_s+2}\sum_{j=m_s-2}^{m_s+2}\int_0^t\Phi(t-t')\,\varphi^{(m_s,m_s)}_{i,j}(t-t')\,\rho_{i,j}(t')\,dt',\quad 4\le m_s\le N_s-2,\quad s=L,R, \tag{A7}$$

with the following non-vanishing terms:

$$\varphi^{(m_s,m_s)}_{m_s-2,m_s}(\tau)=-\frac{\alpha_s^2}{2}\,e^{\imath(E_{m_s}-E_{m_s-2})\tau/\hbar},\ \ \varphi^{(m_s,m_s)}_{m_s-1,m_s-1}(\tau)=\alpha_s^2,\ \ \varphi^{(m_s,m_s)}_{m_s-1,m_s}(\tau)=-\imath\alpha_s e^{\imath(E_{m_s}-E_{m_s-1})\tau/\hbar},$$
$$\varphi^{(m_s,m_s)}_{m_s-1,m_s+1}(\tau)=\alpha_s^2 e^{\imath(E_{m_s+1}-E_{m_s-1})\tau/\hbar},\ \ \varphi^{(m_s,m_s)}_{m_s,m_s-2}(\tau)=-\frac{\alpha_s^2}{2}\,e^{\imath(E_{m_s-2}-E_{m_s})\tau/\hbar},\ \ \varphi^{(m_s,m_s)}_{m_s,m_s-1}(\tau)$$
$$=\imath\alpha_s e^{\imath(E_{m_s-1}-E_{m_s})\tau/\hbar},\ \ \varphi^{(m_s,m_s)}_{m_s,m_s}(\tau)=-2\alpha_s^2,\ \ \varphi^{(m_s,m_s)}_{m_s,m_s+1}(\tau)=\imath\alpha_s\,e^{\imath(E_{m_s+1}-E_{m_s})\tau/\hbar},\ \ \varphi^{(m_s,m_s)}_{m_s,m_s+2}(\tau)$$
$$=-\frac{\alpha_s^2}{2}e^{\imath(E_{m_s+2}-E_{m_s})\tau/\hbar},\ \ \varphi^{(m_s,m_s)}_{m_s+1,m_s-1}(\tau)=\alpha_s^2\,e^{\imath(E_{m_s-1}-E_{m_s+1})\tau/\hbar},\ \ \varphi^{(m_s,m_s)}_{m_s+1,m_s}(\tau)=-\imath\alpha_s\,e^{\imath(E_{m_s}-E_{m_s+1})\tau/\hbar},$$
$$\varphi^{(m_s,m_s)}_{m_s+1,m_s+1}(\tau)=\alpha_s^2,\quad \varphi^{(m_s,m_s)}_{m_s+2,m_s}(\tau)=-\frac{\alpha_s^2}{2}\,e^{\imath(E_{m_s}-E_{m_s+2})\tau/\hbar}.$$

For the sake of shortness, we omit the master equations of the remaining terms, recovering the same structure as the above equalities.

For times $t\gg 1/\Omega$,, the contribution of the oscillating terms to the convolution is negligible and the above master equations get simplified forms:

$$\dot{\rho}_{1_L,1_L}(t) \simeq \imath\Omega\left(\rho_{1_L,1_R}(t) - \rho_{1_R,1_L}(t)\right) + \frac{\alpha_L^2}{2}\int_0^t \Phi\left(t-t'\right)\left\{2\rho_{2_L,2_L}\left(t'\right) - \rho_{1_L,1_L}\left(t'\right) - \rho_{1_R,1_R}\left(t'\right)\right\} dt', \tag{A8}$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{1_L,1_R}(t) \simeq \imath\Omega\left(\rho_{1_L,1_L}(t) - \rho_{1_R,1_R}(t)\right) - \frac{\alpha_L^2+\alpha_R^2}{4}\int_0^t \Phi\left(t-t'\right)\left\{\rho_{1_L,1_R}\left(t'\right) + \rho_{1_R,1_L}\left(t'\right)\right\} dt', \tag{A9}$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{1_L,2_L}(t) \simeq \imath\left(\omega_{2_L1_L}\rho_{1_L,2_L}(t) - \Omega\rho_{1_R,2_L}(t)\right) + \imath\frac{\alpha_L}{2}$$

$$\times\int_0^t \Phi\left(t-t'\right)\left\{\rho_{1_L,1_L}\left(t'\right) + \rho_{1_R,1_R}\left(t'\right) - 2\rho_{2_L,2_L}\left(t'\right)\right\} dt', \tag{A10}$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{1_L,2_R}(t) \simeq \imath\left(\omega_{2_R1_R}\rho_{1_L,2_R}(t) - \Omega\rho_{1_R,2_R}(t)\right) + \imath\frac{\alpha_R}{2}\int_0^t \Phi\left(t-t'\right)\left\{\rho_{1_L,1_R}\left(t'\right) + \rho_{1_R,1_L}\left(t'\right)\right\}, \tag{A11}$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{2_L,2_L}(t) \simeq \frac{\alpha_L^2}{2}\int_0^t \Phi\left(t-t'\right)\left\{\rho_{1_L,1_L}\left(t'\right) + \rho_{1_R,1_R}\left(t'\right) - 4\rho_{2_L,2_L}\left(t'\right) + 2\rho_{3_L,3_L}\left(t'\right)\right\} dt', \tag{A12}$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{m_s,m_s}(t) \simeq \alpha_s^2\int_0^t \Phi\left(t-t'\right)\left\{\rho_{m_{s-1},m_{s-1}}\left(t'\right) - 2\rho_{m_s,m_s}\left(t'\right) + \rho_{m_{s+1},m_{s+1}}\left(t'\right)\right\} dt', \tag{A13}$$

$$m = 3,\ldots,N_s-1, \qquad s = L,R,$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{N_s,N_s}(t) \simeq \alpha_s^2\int_0^t \Phi\left(t-t'\right)\left\{\rho_{N_s-1,N_s-1}\left(t'\right) - \rho_{N_s,N_s}\left(t'\right)\right\} dt', \quad s = L,R. \tag{A14}$$

The dynamics of the populations, $\rho_{m_s,m_s}(t)$, and the coherent term, $\rho^c(t)$, results to be decoupled from the time evolution of remaining coherence terms, $\rho_{m_s,n_{s'}}(t)$, for every $m_s, n_{s'} \neq 1$ and $s,s' = L,R$, undergoing "fast" oscillations [11] and giving vanishing contribution for $t \gg 1/\Omega$.

1. The adiabatic theorem

We now prove the consistency of the above equation through the adiabatic theorem described in Ref. [28].

The reduced master equation provided by the adiabatic theorem [28] reads

$$\dot{\bar{\rho}}(t) \simeq -\frac{\imath}{\hbar}\left[\bar{H},\bar{\rho}(t)\right] + \int_0^t \Phi\left(t-t'\right)\left\{-\imath\left[\bar{V},\bar{R}\left(t,t'\right)\right] - \frac{1}{2}\left\{\Pi\left[V^2\right],\bar{R}\left(t,t'\right)\right\} + \Pi\left[V\bar{R}\left(t,t'\right)V\right]\right\} dt', \tag{A15}$$

with the following definitions and use full relations:

$$\Pi\left[A\right] = \bar{A} = QAQ + \sum_{s=L,R}\sum_{n_s=2}^{N_s} P_{n_s}AP_{n_s}, \quad Q = P_{1_+} + P_{1_-}, \quad R\left(t,t'\right) = e^{\mathcal{L}_0\left(t-t'\right)}\left[\rho\left(t'\right)\right], \quad P_{1_\pm} = |1_\pm\rangle\langle 1_\pm|,$$

$$P_{n_s} = |n_s\rangle\langle n_s|, \quad n_s = 2,\ldots,N_s, \quad s = L,R, \quad \bar{V} = 0, \quad \Pi\left[V^2\right] = \sum_{s=L,R}\alpha_s^2\left(P_{1_s} + P_{N_s} + 2\sum_{n_s=2}^{N_s-1}P_{n_s}\right),$$

$$\alpha_L^2 P_{1_L} + \alpha_R^2 P_{1_R} = p_{1_+,1_+}\,|1_+\rangle\langle 1_+| + p_{1_-,1_-}\,|1_-\rangle\langle 1_-| + p_{1_-,1_+}\,|1_-\rangle\langle 1_+| + p_{1_+,1_-}\,|1_+\rangle\langle 1_-|,$$

$$p_{1_\pm,1_\pm} = \alpha_L^2\left(\gamma_L^{(\pm)}\right)^2 + \alpha_R^2\left(\gamma_R^{(\pm)}\right)^2, \quad p_{1_\pm,1_\mp} = \alpha_L^2\gamma_L^{(+)}\gamma_L^{(-)} + \alpha_R^2\gamma_R^{(+)}\gamma_R^{(-)}.$$

For long timescales, $t \gg 1/\Omega$, the oscillating terms give a negligible contribution to the convolution and we get the following relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\Pi\left[V^2\right],\bar{R}\right\} = -\frac{\alpha_L^2+\alpha_R^2}{2}\left(\rho_{1_+,1_+}\left(t'\right)|1_+\rangle\langle 1_+| + \rho_{1_-,1_-}\left(t'\right)|1_-\rangle\langle 1_-|\right) + \frac{\alpha_R^2-\alpha_L^2}{4}\left(\rho_{1_+,1_+}\left(t'\right)+\rho_{1_-,1_-}\left(t'\right)\right)\\
&\times\left(|1_+\rangle\langle 1_-| + |1_-\rangle\langle 1_+|\right) - \sum_{s=L,R}\alpha_s^2\Big\{2\sum_{n_s=2}^{N_s-1}\rho_{n_s,n_s}|n_s\rangle\langle n_s| + \rho_{N_s,N_s}|N_s\rangle\langle N_s|\Big\},\\
&\Pi\left[V\bar{R}\left(t,t'\right)V\right] = \sum_{s=L,R}\alpha_s^2\Big\{\rho_{2_s,2_s}\left(t'\right)|1_s\rangle\langle 1_s| + \rho_{N_s-1,N_s-1}\left(t'\right)|N_s\rangle\langle N_s| + \left(R_{1_s,1_s}\left(t,t'\right)+\rho_{3_s,3_s}\left(t'\right)\right)|2_s\rangle\langle 2_s|\\
&+\sum_{n_s=3}^{N_s-1}\left(\rho_{n_s-1,n_s-1}\left(t'\right)+\rho_{n_s+1,n_s+1}\left(t'\right)\right)|n_s\rangle\langle n_s|\Big\},\quad R_{1_{L(R)},1_{L(R)}}\left(t,t'\right) = \left(\gamma_{L(R)}^{(+)}\right)^2\rho_{1_+,1_+}\left(t'\right)\\
&+\left(\gamma_{L(R)}^{(-)}\right)^2\rho_{1_-,1_-}\left(t'\right) + \gamma_{L(R)}^{(+)}\gamma_{L(R)}^{(-)}\left(e^{-\imath\omega_{1_+,1_-}\left(t-t'\right)}\rho_{1_+,1_-}\left(t'\right) + e^{\imath\omega_{1_+,1_-}\left(t-t'\right)}\rho_{1_-,1_+}\left(t'\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

giving the following master equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\dot{\rho}_{1_\pm,1_\pm}(t) \simeq \int_0^t \Phi\left(t-t'\right)\left\{\alpha_L^2\left(\gamma_L^{(\pm)}\right)^2\rho_{2_L,2_L}\left(t'\right) + \alpha_R^2\left(\gamma_R^{(\pm)}\right)^2\rho_{2_R,2_R}\left(t'\right) - \frac{\alpha_L^2+\alpha_R^2}{2}\rho_{1_\pm,1_\pm}\left(t'\right)\right\}dt',\\
&\dot{\rho}_{1_\pm,1_\mp}(t) \simeq \mp 2\imath\Omega\rho_{1_\pm,1_\mp}(t) + \int_0^t \Phi\left(t-t'\right)\left\{\frac{\alpha_R^2-\alpha_L^2}{4}\Big(\rho_{1_+,1_+}\left(t'\right)+\rho_{1_-,1_-}\left(t'\right)\Big) + \alpha_L^2\gamma_L^{(+)}\gamma_L^{(-)}\rho_{2_L,2_L}\left(t'\right)\right.\\
&\left. +\alpha_R^2\gamma_R^{(+)}\gamma_R^{(-)}\rho_{2_R,2_R}\left(t'\right)\right\}dt'.
\end{aligned}
$$

We are now equipped to build up the master equations generated by Eq. (A15). After some straightforward algebra we recover the *same* equations describing the dynamics of the levels populations and off diagonal elements of the density matrix obtained in the previous Subsection.

Appendix B: The populations of the ground levels and coherence

This Appendix is devoted to a detailed study of the asymptotic dynamics of the populations and the coherence terms in the Laplace space, describing the behaviors for times $t \gg 1/\Omega$.

We consider Eqs. (A8), (A9), (A12), (A13), (A14) and those obtained by exchanging the indexes L and R, the corresponding Laplace transforms show an iterative structure of continued fraction difficult to inverted, thus, in order to evaluate $p_{1_L}(t)$, $p_{1_R}(t)$ and $p^c(t)$, we *assume* that the number of internal energy levels is *infinite*. This way, Eq. (A13) gives the following difference equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{p}_{n_s+2}(u) - 2\left(\frac{u}{2\alpha_s^2\tilde{\Phi}(u)}+1\right)\tilde{p}_{n_s+1}(u) + \tilde{p}_{n_s}(u) = 0,\\
&n_s = 2,3,\ldots,\qquad s = L,R,
\end{aligned}
$$

whose solution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{p}_{n_s}(u) = A_s(u)\left(\lambda_+^{(s)}(u)\right)^{n_s} + B_s(u)\left(\lambda_-^{(s)}(u)\right)^{n_s},\\
&n_s = 2,3,\ldots,\qquad s = L,R,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_s(u)$ and $B_s(u)$ are independent of n_s and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_\pm^{(s)}(u) = 1 + \frac{u}{2\alpha_s^2\tilde{\Phi}(u)} \pm \sqrt{\left(1+\frac{u}{2\alpha_s^2\tilde{\Phi}(u)}\right)^2 - 1},\\
&n_s = 2,3,\ldots,\quad s = L,R.
\end{aligned}
$$

The Laplace transform of normalization constraint of the whole levels populations, $\sum_{s=L,R}\sum_{n_s=1}^{\infty} p_{n_s}(t) = 1$, reads $\sum_{s=L,R}\sum_{n_s=1}^{\infty}\tilde{p}_{n_s}(u) = 1/u$. Also, we consider the case of functions $\tilde{\Phi}(u)$ positive for every $u > 0$ and $s = L, R$, thus, $\lambda_{+}^{(s)}(u) > 1$ for every $u > 0$ and $s = L, R$. This way, the convergence of the series $\sum_{s=L,R} \sum_{n_s=2}^{\infty} A_s(u) \left(\lambda_{+}^{(s)}(u)\right)^{n_s}$ gives $A_s(u) = 0$ for every $u > 0$ and $s = L, R$, and we finally obtain

$$\tilde{p}_{n_s}(u) = B_s(u) \left(\lambda_{-}^{(s)}(u)\right)^{n_s}, \quad n_s = 2, 3, \ldots, \quad s = L, R.$$

The function $B_s(u)$ is fixed by Eq. (A12) and the one obtained by the mutual exchange of L and R,

$$B_s(u) = \frac{-\alpha_s^2 \tilde{\Phi}(u) \left(\tilde{p}_{1_L}(u) + \tilde{p}_{1_R}(u)\right)}{2 \left(\lambda_{-}^{(s)}(u)\right)^2 \left(\alpha_s^2 \left(\lambda_{-}^{(s)}(u) - 2\right) \tilde{\Phi}(u) - u\right)},$$

for every $s = L, R$. The dynamics of the populations of the lower energy levels, $p_{1_L}(u)$, $p_{1_R}(u)$ and the coherence term, $p^c(u)$, is describe by the matrix form $\mathbf{M}(u) \cdot \mathbf{X}(u) = \mathbf{Y}$, where $\mathbf{M}(u)$, $\mathbf{X}(u)$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ read

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\mathbf{M}(u)]_{1,1} = u + \frac{\alpha_L^2}{2} \tilde{\Phi}(u) + \frac{\alpha_L^4 \left(\tilde{\Phi}(u)\right)^2}{2 \left(\alpha_L^2 \tilde{\Phi}(u) \left(\lambda_{-}^{(L)}(u) - 2\right) - u\right)}, \; [\mathbf{M}(u)]_{1,2} = \frac{\alpha_L^2}{2} \tilde{\Phi}(u) \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_L^2 \tilde{\Phi}(u)}{\alpha_L^2 \tilde{\Phi}(u) \left(\lambda_{-}^{(L)}(u) - 2\right) - u}\right), \\
&[\mathbf{M}(u)]_{1,4} = - [\mathbf{M}(u)]_{1,3} = [\mathbf{M}(u)]_{2,3} = - [\mathbf{M}(u)]_{2,4} = - [\mathbf{M}(u)]_{3,1} = [\mathbf{M}(u)]_{3,2} = [\mathbf{M}(u)]_{4,1} = - [\mathbf{M}(u)]_{4,2} = \imath \Omega, \\
&[\mathbf{M}(u)]_{2,1} = \frac{\alpha_R^2}{2} \tilde{\Phi}(u) \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_R^2 \tilde{\Phi}(u)}{\alpha_R^2 \tilde{\Phi}(u) \left(\lambda_{-}^{(R)}(u) - 2\right) - u}\right), \; [\mathbf{M}(u)]_{2,2} = u + \frac{\alpha_R^2}{2} \tilde{\Phi}(u) + \frac{\alpha_R^4 \left(\tilde{\Phi}(u)\right)^2}{2 \left(\alpha_R^2 \tilde{\Phi}(u) \left(\lambda_{-}^{(R)}(u) - 2\right) - u\right)}, \\
&[\mathbf{M}(u)]_{3,3} = [\mathbf{M}(u)]_{4,4} = \frac{\alpha_L^2 + \alpha_R^2}{4} \tilde{\Phi}(u) + u, \; [\mathbf{M}(u)]_{3,4} = [\mathbf{M}(u)]_{4,3} = \frac{\alpha_L^2 + \alpha_R^2}{4} \tilde{\Phi}(u), \\
&\mathbf{X}(u) = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{p}_{1_L}(u) \\ \tilde{p}_{1_R}(u) \\ \tilde{\rho}_{1_L, 1_R}(u) \\ \tilde{\rho}_{1_R, 1_L}(u) \end{bmatrix}, \; \mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the Laplace transform of the coherence term is

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{p}^c(u) = {} & -4\Omega \Big(u + 2\alpha_L^2 \tilde{\Phi}(u) + u^{1/2} F_L(u)\Big) \Big(u^{3/2} + u F_R(u) + \alpha_R^2 \tilde{\Phi}(u) \Big(3u^{1/2} + F_R(u)\Big)\Big) \Bigg/ \Bigg(\left(u^2 + 4\Omega^2\right) \\
& \times \Bigg(u^{1/2} \Big(u^{1/2} + F_L(u)\Big) \Big(2u \Big(u^{1/2} + F_R(u)\Big) + \alpha_R^2 \tilde{\Phi}(u) \Big(5u^{1/2} + F_R(u)\Big)\Big) + \alpha_L^2 \tilde{\Phi}(u) \Big(u^{1/2} \Big(5u^{1/2} + F_L(u)\Big) \\
& \times \Big(u^{1/2} + F_R(u)\Big) + 2\alpha_R^2 \tilde{\Phi}(u) \Big(6u^{1/2} + F_L(u) + F_R(u)\Big)\Big)\Bigg)\Bigg), \quad F_s(u) = \sqrt{u + 4\alpha_s^2 \tilde{\Phi}(u)}, \quad s = L, R, \qquad \text{(B1)}
\end{aligned}$$

while the population of the ground L reads

$$\tilde{p}_{1_L}(u) = \left(u + 2\alpha_L^2\tilde{\Phi}(u) + \sqrt{u}F_L(u)\right)\left(2\sqrt{u}\left(u^2 + 2\Omega^2\right)\left(\sqrt{u} + F_R(u)\right) + \alpha_R^2\tilde{\Phi}(u)\left(8\Omega^2 + 5u^2 + u^{3/2}F_R(u)\right)\right)$$
$$\Bigg/\Bigg(\sqrt{u}\left(u^2 + 4\Omega^2\right)\Big(\sqrt{u}\left(\sqrt{u} + F_L(u)\right)\left(2u\left(\sqrt{u} + F_R(u)\right) + \alpha_R^2\tilde{\Phi}(u)\left(5\sqrt{u} + F_R(u)\right)\right)$$
$$+\alpha_L^2\tilde{\Phi}(u)\left(\sqrt{u}\left(5\sqrt{u} + F_L(u)\right)\left(\sqrt{u} + F_R(u)\right) + 2\alpha_R^2\tilde{\Phi}(u)\left(6\sqrt{u} + F_L(u) + F_R(u)\right)\right)\Big)\Bigg). \quad \text{(B2)}$$

The expression for $\tilde{p}_{1_R}(u)$ is obtained from the above relation through the mutual exchange of the indexes L and R. We notice that the equality (11) is fulfilled. The coherence term described by Eq. (B1), is evaluated for various expressions of the memory kernel $\hat{\Phi}(u)$. In each case, the inverse power law behavior of both $p^c(t)$ and $P_L(t)$ emerges from the analysis of the corresponding Laplace transforms for $u \to 0^+$, while the long time scales are derived from the conditions for the convergence of the related series expansions.

Acknowledgments

This work is based upon research supported by the South African Research Chairs Initiative of the Department of Science and Technology and National Research Foundation. F.G. is grateful to Dr. Facchi for proposing the problem and the hints about IZE and the infinite number of energy levels, to Dr. Budini for the useful discussions on the general master equation, to Prof. Grigolini for the possibility of researching in this subject and to Prof. Mainardi for the continuous suggestions during the years on Integral Transforms and Special Functions.

---

[1] B. Misra and E.C.G. Sudarshan, J. Math Phys. **18**, 756 (1977).
[2] R.J. Cook, Phys. Scr. T **21**, 49 (1988).
[3] W.M. Itano, D.J. Heinzen, J.J. Bollinger and D.J. Wineland, Phys. Rev. A **41**, 2295 (1990).
[4] M.C. Fisher, B. Gutierrez-Medina and M.G. Rainez, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 040402 (2001).
[5] D. Home and M.A.B. Whitaker, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **258**, 237 (1997).
[6] L.A. Khalfin, JETP Lett. **8**, 65 (1968).
[7] A. Beskow and J. Nilsson, Ark. Fys. **34**, 561 (1967).
[8] P. Facchi, H. Nakazano and S. Pascazio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 2699 (2001).
[9] A.D. Panov, Phys. Lett. A **298**, 295 (2002).
[10] H. Nakazato, M. Namiki and S. Pascazio, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **10**, 247 (1996).
[11] D. Bruno, P. Facchi, S. Longo, P. Minelli, S. Pascazio, and A. Scardicchio, J. Phys. Chem. A, **113**, 14875 (2009).
[12] B. Nagels, L.J.F. Hermans and P.L. Chapovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3097 (1997).
[13] E.W. Montroll and G.H. Weiss, J. Math. Phys. **6**, 167 (1965).
[14] H.P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems*, Oxford University Press, Oxford (2002).
[15] A. A. Budini, Phys. Rev. A **69**, 042107 (2004).
[16] A. A. Budini, Phys. Rev. E **72**, 056106 (2005).
[17] I.M. Sokolov, Phys. Rev E **66**, 041101 (2002).
[18] G. Lindblad, Comm. Math. Phys. **48**,119 (1976).
[19] V. Gorini, A. Kossakovski and E.C.G. Sudarshan, J. Math. Phys **17**, 821 (1976).
[20] M. Bologna, A. A. Budini, F. Giraldi and P. Grigolini, Journ. Chem. Phys. **130**, 244106 (2009).
[21] A. A. Budini and P. Grigolini, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 022103 (2009).
[22] D.V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ (1941).
[23] R. Gorenflo and F. Mainardi, *Integral and Differential Equations of Fractional Order*, CISM LECTURE NOTES, Bologna, (2000).
[24] R. Metzler and J. Klafter, Phys. Rep **339**, 1 (2000).
[25] T.R. Prabhakar, Yokohama Mathematical Journal **19**, 7 (1971).
[26] F. Mainardi, *Fractional Calcolus and Waves in Linear Viscoelasticity*, Imperial College Press, World Scientific Publishing, (2010).
[27] G. Zumofen and J. Klafter, Phys. Rev. E **47**, 851 (1993).
[28] P. Facchi and S. Pascazio, J. Phys. Soc. Japan. **72** Supplement C, 30 (2003).